

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/008,947	01/20/1998	RANDELL L. MILLS	911322US	6830	
7590 11/16/2004			EXAMINER		
FARKAS & MANELLI 2000 M STREET, N.W.			KALAFUT, S	KALAFUT, STEPHEN J	
7TH FLOOR WASHINGTON, DC 200363307			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1745	1745	
			DATE MAR CD- 11/16/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/008,947	MILLS, RANDELL L.			
,	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Stephen J. Kalafut	1745			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 14 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	old abandonment of this applica	ation. A proper repl	y to a		
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.				
 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). 	Her than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	a data of the final reject:			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	rextension and the corresponding amounted shortened statutory period for reply contact than three months after the mails of the state.	unt of the fee. The appr	opriate extension		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>14 October 2004</u> . A 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	opellant's Brief must be filed with	nin the period set fo	orth in		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be					
(a) they raise new issues that would require furthe	r consideration and/or search (s	ee NOTE below)			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be		33 113 1 E BOISW),			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	• •	ially reducing or sin	nplifying the		
(d) they present additional claims without canceling NOTE:	g a corresponding number of fir	nally rejected claims	3.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		parate, timely filed a	amendment		
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for rapplication in condition for allowance because: see	econsideration has been consid body of action.	ered but does NOT	place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered beca raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	use it is not directed SOLELY to	issues which were	newly		
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appro	ved or b) disapproved by the	e Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement					

10.⊠ Other: see attached PTO-892.

Application/Control Number: 09/008,947

Art Unit: 1745

An appeal under 37 CFR 1.191 was filed in this application on 10/14/2004. Appellant's brief is due on 12/14/2004 in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).

Applicant's arguments filed 10/14/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the examiner has miscalculated the energy levels predicted by the applicant's theory, because energy is transferred to a catalyst in amounts of m x 27 eV, where m is an integer. This is not persuasive because in order to accept such amounts, the hydrogen atom must be able to give energy in these same amounts, some of which do not fit into the alleged energy levels of a hydrogen atom, as theorized by applicant.

Applicant points out that the reasons for Balmer line broadening are discussed in many articles, and that the observed broadening is in excess in what can be expected from known sources thereof. This is not persuasive because broadening may be caused by various means including those taken into account by applicant, and those not taken into account. In the enclosed article by Luggenhölscher *et al.*, broadening equivalent to that found by applicant, shown in figure 1, is accounted for by conventionally known explanations such as the Stark effect. The enclosed article by Luque *et al.* accounts for Hα broadening using two Lorentzian mechanisms (Stark and Van der Waals) and two Gaussian mechanisms (Doppler and instrumental).

Applicant argues that the release of energy from hydrogen to form lower states thereof is the source of the energy for a hydrogen-argon plasma. This is not persuasive because it does not take into account the tendency of atomic hydrogen to recombine into molecular hydrogen, which Application/Control Number: 09/008,947

Art Unit: 1745

would release energy. The attachments from applicant also indicate an additional energy source, such as a heater or a microwave generator.

Regarding applicant's arguments concerning the Appendix of Dr. Souw, attached to the Office Action of 11/6/2003, please see the presently attached Appendix.

Since the mailing of the previous Office Action, applicant's attachments A, C, D, G, H, I, J, K, M, N, O, P, Q, R, 50-56, 60, 77, 81 and 101-107 have either been submitted or located from being previously submitted.

Attachments 102, 103 and 105-107 fall into category (1) of the Final Rejection of 4/5/2004, as being not pier reviewed.

Attachments 53 and 56 fall into category (2) of the Final Rejection, as not dealing with the hydrino, but with other subject matter.

Attachments 50, 101 and 104 fall into category (3) of the Final Rejection, as containing data contrary to applicant's theory.

Attachments 51, 52, 54, 55, 60, 77, 81, 103 and 105 fall into category (4) of the Final Rejection, as speculating hydrino formation for data not necessarily caused by hydrinos.

Attachments A, C, D, G, H, I, J, K, M, N, O, P, Q and R fall into category (6) of the Final Rejection, as being unrelated to the scientific merits of the present invention.

Since all the "evidence" presented in these attachments falls into to at least one of the categories as stated in the Final Rejection, they are all deemed to be incredible, and thus invalid as experimental proof for the existence of the hypothetical hydrino, or a fuel cell based thereon.

Art Unit: 1745

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen J. Kalafut whose telephone number is 571-272-1286. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00 am-4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick J. Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

sjk

STEPHEN KALAFUT PRIEJARY EXAMINER GROUP